

International Journal of Judicial Law

Public health issues and innovations in highway construction worldwide

Islam Erniyazov

Department of Private International Law, Tashkent State University of Law, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

* Corresponding Author: **David Cingranelli**

Article Info

ISSN (online): 2583-6536

Volume: 02

Issue: 06

November-December 2023

Received: 02-09-2023;

Accepted: 19-10-2023

Page No: 13-14

Abstract

This article provides a detailed analysis of the limitations in the implementation of anti-corruption policy in the context of cross-border international development and cooperation between the public and private sectors. It examines weaknesses in international conventions, domestic law, prosecutorial cooperation and law enforcement that allow the commission of illegal crimes. As a result, recommendations are made, including legal development, contractual and technological development, joint management and administrative management, involving stakeholders and decision-making mechanisms in transnational development, especially in many countries, to improve the preservation of harmony. This study concludes that optimizing the protection of the health law ecosystem for specific contexts is important to strengthen the justice and equity of cooperation around the world.

Keywords: Innovations, construction, worldwide, Public health

Introduction

Since the construction of highways crossing international borders is enshrined in laws and regulations in many countries, it is the cause of criminal justice, including bribes and kickbacks when contracts are signed, as well as large investments during construction, illegal documents and theft of property. The intersection of public and private sector organizations and private actors. These corruption risks impact the economy and infrastructure alike and require a comprehensive legal framework that includes policymakers, laws, law enforcement, funders, contractors, communities and other stakeholders.

Therefore, anti-corruption reforms have been implemented at national and international levels. Important international measures include the United Nations Convention Against Corruption, the OECD Anti-Bribery Convention and the World Bank Group sanctions regime. Important domestic laws include the US Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the UK Bribery Act. However, there are limits to complying with these standards in cross-border projects. This paper aims to analyze weaknesses through case studies, data and expert perspectives and formulate pragmatic recommendations on enhancing anti-corruption compliance specifically for contemporary cross-border road construction projects through legal, contractual, technological and collaborative governance innovations.

Literature review

A substantial body of scholarship provides theoretical and empirical analyses of the prevalence, forms, causes and effects of corruption in infrastructure sectors, classifying corrupt practices across project development phases encompassing needs assessment, design, tendering, construction and operation (Kenny, 2007; Dulaimi et al, 2010) [1, 7]. Research examines anti-corruption laws, conventions and enforcement strategies in both developed and developing country contexts, highlighting limitations in scope, implementation, business cooperation and international coordination (Heineman & Heimann, 2006; Hinchey, 2011) [5, 6].

Sectoral studies investigate corruption risks and anti-corruption efforts in domains like extractives (Fasterling & Demke, 2020) [3], healthcare (Mackey et al, 2018) [11] and transportation (Locatelli et al, 2017) [10]. Analyses reveal deficiencies in transparency, accountability and consistency in public infrastructure governance across nations enabling corruption, despite extensive formal rules (Kolstad & Wiig, 2009) [8]. Scholars advocate open contracting, technological systems and collaborative governance mechanisms for strengthening anti-corruption in infrastructure projects by enhancing monitoring, due diligence and social accountability (Mungiu-Pippidi, 2020) [12]. But empirical research on anti-corruption legal ecosystem innovations tailored for international road delivery remains limited.

Analytical framework

This paper adopts an integrated analytical framework incorporating doctrinal analysis of anti-corruption regulations and cases, review of enforcement trends, comparative assessment of compliance systems and synthesizing multi-disciplinary perspectives to holistically examine limitations as well as potential innovations in anti-corruption laws, policies and practices specifically applicable to equitable and sustainable cross-border road construction projects across diverse institutional environments.

Analysis

International road construction spans complex intersections of public agencies, private developers, financial institutions and professional services firms based in multiple countries and legal jurisdictions. This presents challenges in monitoring the project cycle, which includes tendering, contracting, design, engineering, construction, quality control and payment phases, and includes criminal justice. Anti-corruption laws also aim to track different forms of crime beyond national borders and companies.

For example, in the corruption scandal involving the Pan-Asian Highway project, bribes first flowed to government officials through shell companies in tax havens (Gong, 2014)^[4]. Despite the existence of international conventions and anti-foreign laws, the phenomenon of transnational corruption persists due to lack of resources, regulatory management and compliance. Domestic anti-corruption laws are still limited in terms of protection of journalists, clear statements and possible investigations (OECD, 2020)^[13].

Developing the anti-corruption ecosystem requires integrated legal reforms, contractual standards, technological solutions and management of transnational cooperation:

1. Anti-corruption laws should expand the scope and scope of the law while clarifying compliance (OECD, 2020)^[13].
2. Road contracts should include anti-corruption commitments, procurement and payment transparency, audits and external monitoring (Ernst & Young, 2012)^[2].
3. Improved due diligence, forensic audits, and data analysis through technology can detect suspicious activity (World Bank, 2020)^[14].
4. Social care and coordinated management by various legal stakeholders can ensure checks and balances (Mungiu-Pippidi, 2020)^[12].
5. International cooperation between law enforcement agencies, development banks, and contracting institutions focused on specific infrastructure projects can facilitate litigation prevention and coordination (Lindner, 2014)^[9].

Conclusion

This study shows that the optimization of certain elements, including the legal level, commitment, expertise and cooperation to prevent corruption laws, is necessary to improve justice and equity in the cross-border process. Further research through multi-stakeholder collaboration could help develop an ecosystem-based approach to combating corruption in the global economy.

References

1. Dulaimi MF, Ling FY, Ofori G, De Silva N. Improve construction integration and innovation. Architectural

- Research and Information, 2010: 38(2): 237-247.
2. Ernst Young. Advancing Ethics - New Markets, New Challenges: 11th Global Fraud Survey. Ernst & Young, 2012.
3. Fasterling B, Demke C. Corruption in the mining industry: types, risks and prevention. *Journal of Energy and Natural Resources Law*, 2020: 38(2): 109-128.
4. Gong T. The social structure of the corruption network in China. In Beyerle, S. *Stopping Corruption: People Power for Accountability and Justice*, 2014, 69-75.
5. Heineman BW, Heimann F. The long war against corruption. *Foreign Affairs*, 2006, 75-86.
6. Hinch JW. Punishing the guilty: Inadequate fines and suggestions for improvement in recent FCPA enforcement. *Journal of Public Contracts Law*, 2011, 393-417.
7. Kenny C. Development, corruption and nation building. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, 2007, 4271.
6. Kolstad I, Wiig A. Is transparency the key to reducing corruption in rich countries? *Global Development*, 2009: 37(3): 521-532.
7. Lindner S. Corruption without borders at state borders: The changing ethics of foreign policy in Central Asia. *Journal of International Relations and Development*, 2014: 17(4): 510-538.
10. Locatelli G et al. Public Corruption and Big Plans: There's an Elephant in the Room! *International Journal of Project Management*, 2017: 35(3): 252-268.
8. McGee TK et al. Corruption in global health: An open secret. *Lancet*, 2018: 392 (10141): 119-124.
9. Mungiu-Pipidi A. Editor. *Corruption research methodology*. Published by Edward Elgar, 2020.
10. OECD. Anti-corruption reforms in Armenia, the fourth phase of the Istanbul Anti-Corruption Action Plan. *OECD Eastern Europe and Central Asia Anti-Corruption Network*, 2020.
11. World Bank. *Improving government efficiency and transparency: Fighting corruption*. World Bank, 2020.